# Gig Harbor Special City Council Meeting

April 30, 2012 5:30 p.m.



"THE MARITIME CITY"



Subject: (CPP- 0914) Donkey Cre	ek Estuary		Dept. Ori	igin:	Public	Works/Eng	ineering	$\vee$
Restoration and Road Improvement – Comparative Analysis of Two (2) A			Prepared	l by:	Emily Senior	Appleton, P r Engineer	E.E. Kul	
Proposed Council Action: Staff requests			For Ager	nda of:	April 3	30, 2012		
Council direction regarding project s Proposed funding	cope and		Exhibits:		None			
							Initial & Dat	e
			Concurre	d by Ma	yor:			_ ,
			Approved	by City	Administrator:		R 4/2	7/12
			Approved	as to fo	orm by	City Atty:	Via email	4.27.12
			Approved	l by Fina	nce Di	irector:		
			Approved	by Dep	artmer	nt Head:		_
Expenditure	Amount	2012	2 Budget	Appropr	iation			
Required <b>TBD</b>	Budgeted	\$1,9	961,000	Require	d	TBD		

#### **INFORMATION / BACKGROUND**

At the April 5, 2012 City Council Study Session, Council requested that staff prepare a comparative analysis of two alternative options for the Donkey Creek Estuary Restoration and Road Improvements project. These alternatives consist of the following:

- 1. Maintain the currently designed scope of work, including a bridge on North Harborview Drive, and quantify the funding required, specifically, the amount spent to date and amount of local and other funds required to complete the project.
- 2. Reduce the scope of work to incorporate a partial estuary restoration that does NOT include a bridge on North Harborview Drive and quantify the funding required as described, above.

Staff will present the comparative analysis at this special meeting and request Council direction regarding which alternative is to be pursued and how it will be funded.

# Donkey Creek Restoration and Roadway Improvements Project

Special Council Meeting April 30, 2012

# **Project Objectives**

**Presented by Parametrix - September 12, 2011** 

- Improve Traffic Circulation
- Restore Fish Habitat
- Enhance Museum/Park Connectivity

Comparative Analysis Requested by Council April 5, 2012

1) Maintain Scope of Work (find additional funding sources)

2) Scope of Work Reduction (partial Estuary Restoration)

#### **BRIDGE OPTION**



#### **NO BRIDGE OPTION**



**PROBABLE COSTS** 

### "Bridge" vs. "No Bridge"

#### **Probable Cost Estimates at 90% (Parametrix)**

BRIDGE OPTION

AUSTIN RD + HARBORVIEW 1-WAY + BRIDGE + ESTUARY + HISTORY MUSEUM IMPROVEMENTS	MARCH 2012
Concept Development	\$ 146,000
Preliminary Engineering / Permitting	\$ 394,000
Final Engineering / Permitting	\$ 820,000
Construction	\$ 2,936,949
Construction Mgt / Engineering Support	\$ 534,000
Materials Testing / Special Inspection	\$ 75,000
Miscellaneous	\$ 15,000
TOTAL	\$ 4,920, 949
Spent to date	<\$1,253,000>
FUNDS REQUIRED	\$ 3,667,949

#### **Cost Estimates**

AUSTIN RD + HARBORVIEW 1-WAY + <u>NO BRIDGE</u> + <u>PARTIAL</u> ESTUARY + HISTORY MUSEUM IMPROVEMENTS	MARCH 2012	
Concept Development	\$ 146,000	
Preliminary Engineering / Permitting	\$ 394,000	
Final Engineering / Permitting	\$ 936,000	
Construction	\$ 1,888,000	
Construction Mgt / Engineering Support	\$ 330,000	
Materials Testing / Special Inspection	\$ 10,000	
Miscellaneous	\$ 12,000	
TOTAL	\$3,716,000	
Spent to date	<\$1,253,000>	
FUNDS REQUIRED	\$ 2,463,000	

#### **FUNDING OPTIONS**

#### USE \$1,145,000 \* CITY FUNDS

AUSTIN RD + HARBORVIEW 1-WAY + BRIDGE + ESTUARY	CURRENT TOTALS
HUD	\$ 1,201,000
USFW	\$ 672,000
STORM 2012	\$ 360,000 *
STORM 2013	\$ 300,000 *
GIG HARBOR NORTH LID	\$ 140,000 *
WATER CAPITAL	\$ 120,000 *
SEWER CAPITAL	\$ 225,000 *
TOTAL RESOURCE AVAILABLE	\$ 3,018,000
PROJECT COST REMAINING	\$ 3,667,949
ADDITIONAL FUNDS REQUIRED	\$ 649,949

#### USE GRANT FUNDS ONLY (\*No City Funds)

AUSTIN RD + HARBORVIEW 1-WAY + <u>NO BRIDGE</u> + <u>PARTIAL</u> ESTUARY	CURRENT TOTALS
HUD	\$ 1,201,000
USFW	\$ 672,000
STORM 2012	-0- *
STORM 2013	-0- *
GIG HARBOR NORTH LID	-0- *
WATER CAPITAL	-0- *
SEWER CAPITAL	-0- *
TOTAL RESOURCE AVAILABLE	\$ 1,873,000
PROJECT COST	\$ 2,463,000
ADDITIONAL FUNDS REQUIRED	\$ 590,000

#### **Other Considerations**

- 1) Risk Bridge / Utilities
- 2) Construction Schedule Impacts on Museum
- 3) Construction Schedule Impacts on Finholm District Businesses
- 4) Will require Scope Adjustment Approvals (HUD & USFW)(WDFW has provided prelim approval for partial Estuary Improvement)



# Q & A's Select Project Option